

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/712,068	11/13/2003	David W. Ringel	6231-000004	7916
27572	7590 03/31/2005		EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			SIPOS, JOHN	
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721	
			DATE MAILED: 03/31/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	47				
		10/712,068	RINGEL, DAVID W.	0				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		John Sipos	3721					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SH THE I - Exter - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
• —	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ja This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro						
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-19,21-28 and 32-34 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-19,21-28 and 32-34 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a splicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	l).				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage					
2) D Notic 3) D Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/712,068

Art Unit: 3721

## MINOR INFORMALITIES

The terms "angled cradle" and "at an angle" used in the claims have very little meaning since no points of reference are set forth in the claims. These terms can mean any angle, including perpendicular and parallel relationships, relative to any surface or part of the device.

Claim 28 should be changed to depend on claim 2 to provide proper antecedence to the stapling heads.

## REJECTIONS OF CLAIMS BASED ON PRIOR ART

Claims 1,3-6,15,17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. '102(b) as being clearly anticipated by the patent to Russell (4,333,300). The patent to Russell shows a packaging work cell, which comprise an inbound portion (22a) that comprises a "cradle" or "platform" and an outbound portion that comprises a "cradle" extending at an angle along the table (12a).

Regarding claim 1, the inbound portion comprises adjacent angled cradles for supporting the container at an angle: for example, with one of the parts of the cradle having belts 28a and the second one having the belts 300,302,304 or 308. Regarding claim 15, the outbound portion comprises a cradle with two angled portions 154a and 178a that are movable between a forward position and a rearward position (the motions of which are specifically described and shown in the parent patent 4,159,611 described in Russell). Note that the inbound and outbound portions are at "an angle" less that 180 degrees relative to each other in that the outbound portion is positioned at 90 degrees relative the inbound portion.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,068

Art Unit: 3721

Regarding claim 15, since the manual operations performed at the inbound and outbound portions are not structural limitations but merely set forth a use for the platforms, they are given little patentable weight.

Claims 8,10,11,15,18,20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. '103(a) as being unpatentable over the patent to Russell (4,333,300) in view of the Admitted Prior Art. The use of mounting devices for supporting materials (claim 10), label holders (claim 11) and compartments (claim 12,18) are well known in the art and would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use them in Russell for their inherent purposes, i.e. supports, storage for label and compartments for storing various articles. The assertions made by the Examiner in the last Office action and Applicants silence regarding these claims are considered as an admission of prior art.

Claims 2 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. '103(a) as being unpatentable over the patent to Russell (4,333,300), as applied above, and further in view of Harkness (3,830,036). The Harkness patent shows a packaging work cell which comprise an inbound portion (22) and an outbound portion (30) oriented at approximately 135 degrees relative to the inbound portion (see Figure 1) to aid the operator to easily reach different portions of the cell. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to position the container-closing outbound portion of the work cell of Russell at approximately 135 degrees as taught by Harkness to permit the operator to easily reach different parts of the cell.

Claims 7-9,13,14,22-28 and 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. '103(a) as being unpatentable over the patent to Russell (4,333,300), as applied to the claims above, and further in view of the patent to Paxton (3,126,685). The basic reference lacks the use of fastener mechanisms. The patent to Paxton shows a carton-stapling machine that comprises a transferring

Application/Control Number: 10/712,068

Art Unit: 3721

device 78,79 into and out of the stapling machine, upper stapling device 83, a lower stapling device 82 and two alignment mechanisms 80 and 81. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the closing devices of Russell with a stapling machine as shown by Paxton to positively seal the containers.

## RESPONSE TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. It should however be noted that contrary to applicants' arguments the patent to Russell does comprise more than one inbound cradle since it has more than one inbound parts and conveying mechanisms within its inbound portion 22a. Similarly the outbound portion comprises a cradle extending along the table and has movable portions 154a and 178a.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/712,068 Page 5

Art Unit: 3721

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to **Examiner John Sipos** at telephone number **571-272-4668**. The examiner can normally be reached from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM Monday through Thursday.

The FAX number for Group 3700 of the Patent and Trademark Office is (703) 872-9302.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Rinaldi Rada, can be reached at 571-272-4467.

John Sipos
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2721

Art Unit 3721